

PRESIDENT
DEBBI HOLMERUD
Principal
Lincoln High School
6844 Alexandria Place
Stockton, CA 95207
(209) 953-8921
FAX: (209) 952-4646



COMMISSIONER
PETER J. SACO
CIF Sac-Joaquin Section
1368 E. Turner Road, Ste. A
Lodi, CA 95240
(209) 334-5900
FAX: (209) 334-0300
Web site: www.cifsjs.org

**BACK UP MATERIAL
BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING
OCTOBER 17, 2012
THE RESERVE AT SPANOS PARK**

CONSENT CALENDAR

The following items are considered for your approval. If requested, any of the following items may be removed and placed on the regular agenda.

Section

1. **Approval of April 25, 2012 Board of Managers Minutes**
2. **Approval of Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy (Aspire School) as an Associate Member (Page 1)**
3. **Approval of ABLE Charter (Humphrey's College Academy of Business, Law and Education) as an Associate Member (Page 2)**

OLD BUSINESS (Voting Items)

Section

1. **Approval of Ben Holt Academy and Langston Hughes Academy to Become Full Members of the MVL**
The MVL endorses the approval of the two above named schools as full-time members. (Page 3)
2. **Basketball Power Rating Report**
The basketball power rating committee is forwarding its report for approval. This report also includes the request that the basketball playoffs utilize only 2-person basketball officials in all games at the Section Level. Currently, the Section utilizes 3-person officiating at all neutral site games. (Pages 4-8)
3. **Soccer Proposal for Boys and Girls Selection and Seeding Process**
The Section staff is recommending a new process for selecting teams and the seeding process that will be utilized by the staff as well. (Page 9)
4. **Approval of Two New Schools for Realignment Cycle**
The Section has two comprehensive high schools that will be opening in the next two years that will need to be placed in the upcoming realignment meetings. They are El Capitan High School of Merced and Mountain House High School in the Mountain House area. El Capitan High School will open with grades 9-10 in the fall of 2013 and will be placed in the CCC for the 2013-14 school year. Mountain House High School will open in 2014-15 with grades 9-10.
5. **Selection of Realignment Committee**
The enclosed packet contains the names and the resumes of the nominations. Each league will vote for two principals from the north, two principals from the south, one athletic director from the north and one athletic director from the south. A league cannot have a principal and an athletic director on the committee. The executive committee will pick the two at-large representatives after the meeting is concluded to fill out the committee. (Yellow Packet)

State

1. State Football Bowl Proposal

The Southern Section is proposing a revision to the current bowl system. The proposal would create a situation where Sections would match up through a random draw. This proposal has received no support outside of the Southern Section. (Pages 10-16)

2. Removal of the Terms "Athletically Motivated Transfer" and "Transfer for Athletic Reasons"

The Southern Section is requesting that these terms be removed from the CIF Constitution. While these terms can be difficult to determine at times, the Section staff supports keeping these terms in our Constitution and continue to work on more questions and answers to define them in a more efficient manner. (Page 17)

NEW BUSINESS (Non- voting Items)


Section

1. TCAL Soccer Proposal

The TCAL is proposing that the Section create a boys/ girls winter soccer season. If this passes, the opportunity would create a situation where a league could choose to play soccer in the winter (both genders) or stay in the current format of the boys in the fall and the girls in the spring. The Section staff will create four championship seasons. There would be a boys championship in the fall, both genders would have a championship in the winter and there would be a girls championship in the spring. The champions of the different seasons would NOT have the opportunity to play each other. Any approved changes would be implemented with the next realignment plan and go into effect with the 2014-15 school year. (Page 18)

2. Realignment Update

The Section will present an update on realignment. (Page 19-20)



Mr. Peter J. Saco
Commissioner CIF Sac- Joaquin Section
1368 E. Turner Rd., Ste. A
Lodi CA 95240

Mr. Saco,

I am writing this letter on behalf of Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy, an Aspire Public School. It is our intent to join the CIF Sac- Joaquin Section as a full member.

It is our intentions to offer the following sports programs at the Varsity level: Boys Soccer (Fall 2013); Girls Volleyball (Fall 2013); Boys Basketball (Winter 2013/14); Girls Basketball (Winter 2013/14); Girls Soccer (Spring 2014); and Track and Field (Spring 2014). We have the facilities to host games for soccer, volleyball, and basketball. We are currently trying to find a track where we could practice and possibly host meets.

With the continuing success of Aspire Public Schools and the growth of our high school enrollment numbers, it is our anticipation to continue to grow and we feel that now is the time to develop our athletics program and become a member of the CIF Sac-Joaquin Section. We project our enrollment to grow by thirty-five percent each year for the next three years.

If we are accepted by the CIF Sac-Joaquin Section as a member, it is our intent to abide by all CIF, Sac-Joaquin Section and league rules and regulations.

Thank you for your consideration,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Spencer", written over a horizontal line.

Robert Spencer
Principal
Alexander Twilight Secondary Academy
(916) 979-1788



HUMPHREYS COLLEGE
ACADEMY OF BUSINESS, LAW AND EDUCATION
(ABLE)

Matthew D. George
Executive Director

Clem Lee
Assistant Principal

August 27, 2012

Dear SJS Board of Managers,

I am writing on behalf of Humphreys College Academy of Business, Law and Education (ABLE). This letter is our official request for associate membership in the San Joaquin Section of CIF.

We are a new Charter school about to embark on our second year of serving students in the community of Stockton. Currently, we have 150 students enrolled and project that we will have as many as 300 in the next three years.

We have brought in a new physical education teacher, Holly Peterson, who has also signed on as our athletic director, so that we can start building an athletic department.

Due to timing, and the fact that we will be a fledgling program, we will not be offering any fall sports this year. However, we do intend on having enough student interest for a boys and girls basketball team this winter. More sports teams will be created in the future based on student interest and access to facilities. It is the projected goal of our athletic director to have at least one sport for every season within the next year.

ABLE Charter High School takes great pride in the endeavors it takes part in, and has every intention of abiding by all CIF, SJS, and league rules and regulations. Coach Peterson has coached both basketball and softball at the high school level. She has also served as athletic director of a charter school that was an associate member in the Los Angeles Section of CIF, so she has some experience with rules and regulations already.

Furthermore, students at our school attend ABLE in order to get a more personal educational experience. They are here to prepare themselves for college, and even get college credits while attending. Our students are high class individuals and will represent ABLE and SJS, CIF with the upmost diligence. It is my belief, along with Coach Peterson's, that providing a sports program for our students will only continue to encourage and heighten the character education that we wish to instill in our student body.

Matthew D. George
Executive Director

MOUNTAIN VALLEY LEAGUE

Delta Charter – Julia Ulrich
Don Pedro/Tioga – Randi Mittelstadt
Lodi Academy – Kory Gabrys
ASSOCIATE MEMBERS:
Holt Academy – Mike Merritt

Mountain Oaks – Kathie Ramirez Rusk
Stockton Christian – Annie Rodriguez
Venture Academy – Nic Castellanos

Hughes Academy – Kevin Pratte


September 4, 2012

To whom it may concern,

The members of the Mountain Valley League (MVL) have voted and approved the admission of Ben Holt Academy and Langston Hughes Academy as full members of the MVL. On April 23, 2012 the attending members of the MVL meeting voted unanimously to accept Holt and Hughes into the league. Member school abstaining was Stockton Christian. No issues were discussed regarding their membership. If approved at the Board of Managers meeting on October 17, 2012, contests between Holt or Hughes and MVL schools will be back logged to be considered league matches and count towards league titles and playoff contentions.

Please contact the Mountain Valley League with any questions or concerns regarding Holt and Hughes' memberships.

Sincerely,



JULIA ULRICH
MVL Commissioner and President
julrich@njes.org
(209)830-6363 ext.2211

Received

SEP 12 2012

CIF Sac Joaquin Section

CIF SAC-JOAQUIN SECTION
BASKETBALL PLAYOFF POWER RATING FORMULA
2012-13

NON-LEAGUE POWER RATING: (30% of seeding rating)

1. Each school's non-league opponents are considered.
2. Each non-league opponent is assigned points based on the size of the school:
 - a. Division I - 8 points
 - b. Division II - 8 points
 - c. Division III - 8 points
 - d. Division IV - 7 points
 - e. Division V - 6 points
3. The non-league school size factor is calculated by totaling the opponents' division points then dividing by the number of opponents.
4. A school will receive a win or a loss if they play against another school's JV team in a varsity tournament. The strength factor will not be considered.
5. Non-league games played after January 9, 2013 are not counted.
6. The non-league weighted winning percentage is calculated for each team by combining three factors (team's non-league winning percentage, opponents' non-league winning percentage and opponents' non-league school size factor)
 - * Add:
 - ** 40% of team's non-league winning percentage
 - ** 60% of opponents' winning percentage
 - ** 14% of the difference (non-league school size factor -7.5)

The highest non-league weighted winning percentage earns a 2.4 non-league power rating. All other teams' non-league power ratings are then prorated from 2.4.

7. This is the non-league power rating (30% of the total).

LEAGUE POWER RATING: (70% of seeding rating)

1. Each league is assigned a league strength factor by the seeding committee based on your league's preseason record.
2. The league strength factor is multiplied by the team's won-loss percentage. The result is then multiplied by 70%.
3. This is the league power rating (70% of the total).

SEEDING RATING: (100 percent)

1. Add:
 - a. Non-league power rating and
 - b. League power rating.
2. Total is seeding rating.

CIF SAC-JOQUIN SECTION

POWER RATING/BASKETBALL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

MONDAY, APRIL 23, 2012

Starting with the 2012-13 season:

1. Use the power rating system to select playoff teams for all 12 divisions (6 boys and 6 girls).
2. The Section staff will determine the number of teams in each division by October 1.
3. The power rating system will select the teams in each division.
4. If a league champion or co-champion does not qualify for the tournament by the power rating system, that team will be added to the tournament.
5. If a team wins at least 15 games (all non-league games up to the deadline for non-league games and all league games combined) and does not qualify for the tournament by the power rating system, that team will be added to the tournament.
6. Continue the basketball seeding committee for boys and girls using the same basic committee members. The same committee will be utilized for boys and girls.
7. Convene the basketball seeding committee in January to seed all the Sac-Joaquin Section boys and girls leagues in order of strength from highest to lowest using the considerations listed below. A league strength rating will likely be different for boys and girls depending on the relative strength of each respective league.
 - A. Each girls league and boys league shall be allowed a 10-minute presentation to the committee by a designated representative. Boys and girls may have separate reps. If a league representative prefers, he/she may request a conference call with the committee in lieu of attending the meeting in person. However, all of that league's non-league information must be provided to the Section office prior to the meeting.
 - B. Each league representative is responsible for providing the committee with its members' non-league results forms at the time of the meeting along with other data as requested by the committee.
 - C. No league representative will be present when the committee conducts the seeding procedures.

League Strength Rating Considerations

- A. Individual team and league overall preseason won-loss record.
- B. Won-loss record vs. opponents in other Sac-Joaquin Section leagues.
- C. Won-loss record vs. high quality opponents regardless of school size or location.
- D. Other relevant information (such as a league's depth of quality).

LEAGUE STRENGTH RATING

- A. The highest rated league shall be awarded a numerical strength of 8.0. Each subsequent league shall be assigned a number two-tenths (.2) lower until all remaining leagues are seeded. Example: second seeded league is 7.8, third seeded league is 7.6, etc. The committee may deviate from that formula as outlined in B, C and D below.
- B. Two or more leagues that are determined to be equal will receive the same strength rating. All leagues determined to be equal would receive the same rating based on the range of the top and bottom of the tied leagues. Example: If the committee felt that three leagues should be seeded the same (i.e., spots 7.8, 7.6 and 7.4), each league would receive a rating no lower than 7.4 and no higher than 7.8. The next seeded league would receive a rating two-tenths (.2) below the adjusted rating of the tied leagues.
- C. The committee has the authority, at its own discretion, to drop a league by up to four-tenths (.4) from its current seeding as determined by A or its adjusted rating if B has been utilized. If the committee makes such a change, the next highest rated league will be placed two-tenths (.2) below that league, continuing the ratings as outlined in A above.
- D. The seeding committee may, at its own discretion, move a league up two-tenths (.2) after ranking the leagues and prior to releasing the final league strengths. No league may be rated above 8.2.
- E. The league strength factor as determined by the committee will be the number used by all members of said league. However, if a league is divided into divisions and does not play each team in the league an equal number of times, the committee, at its discretion, may assign a separate rating for each of the divisions.
- F. Generally speaking, leagues are grouped by their division for the purpose of rating leagues.
- G. The seeding committee shall decide all issues involving forfeits and/or partially completed games and postponed games.
- H. Any team that goes over the limit of contests (27), will receive the following penalties:
1. The team's league win total will be reduced by the number of games over the limit;
 2. The team's league loss total will be increased by the number of games over the limit;
 3. The team will not be allowed to host any Section playoff games that season;
 4. The team will have its contest limit for the following year reduced by the number of games over the limit.
- I. Separate seeding will be done for boys and girls.
- J. The league record will be 70% of the total power ratings.

NON-LEAGUE STRENGTH FACTOR

- A. Each school's non-league record will be 30% of the total power ratings.
- B. Non-league games played after January 9, 2013 will not count in each school's non-league strength factor.
- C. The numerical rating system used for pre-season opponents shall be as follows:
Division 1 schools – 8; Division 2 schools – 8; Division 3 schools – 8; Division 4 schools – 7;
Division 5 schools – 6.
- D. A school will receive a win or loss if they play against a JV team in a varsity tournament. A school cannot receive a win or loss if they play their own JV team in said tournament. The strength factor will not be considered.
- E. The seeding committee shall decide all issues involving forfeits and/or partially completed games.
- F. Teams must play a minimum of four non-league games. If a team does not meet the requirement, losses will be added to the team's record to equal four contests. For example, if a team plays only two non-league games, two losses would be added to the record.

FINAL SEEDING MEETING

The seeding committee shall meet at the end of the season to finalize the brackets for each division. It shall have the authority to:

- A. Move a team two spots maximum up or down within the lower half of the tournament bracket to separate first round opponents from the same league. Second round sites shall revert to original power ratings in the event that teams are moved.
- B. Move a team five spots maximum up or down within the tournament bracket to correct a serious injustice in the seeding. A league champion may be given strong consideration for seeding preference. Criteria for adjustment is at the discretion of the committee.
- C. If two teams from the same league are in the upper half of the tournament bracket, the committee may move the lower of the two teams one spot up or down to separate the two teams into opposite brackets to correct a serious seeding injustice.
- D. If an outright league champion is seeded in the bottom half of the bracket, it may be moved into the top half of the bracket. Teams below will fill the vacated spots.
- E. If a league champion does not qualify for the tournament, the committee may create an outbracket game for that team.

NOTE: The official basketball for the Section Tournament will be the WILSON SOLUTION BALL.

OFFICIATING

Two-person crews will officiate all games in the Sac-Joaquin Section playoffs, including games played at neutral sites.

OTHER REGULATIONS

- 1 – For home site playoff contests, both the visiting and host teams will have equal access to the playing floor 90 minutes prior to the scheduled game time.
- 2 – Senior night activities will not be allowed during a home site playoff contest.
- 3 – Overnight travel: Teams traveling more than 120 miles, one way, to a playoff contest and who receive advance approval, may elect to spend the night at a hotel. Each individual school will be responsible for the cost of the hotel.

PRESIDENT
MICHAEL S. GARRISON
Assistant Superintendent
Rocklin Unified School District
2615 Sierra Meadows Drive
Rocklin, CA 95677
(916) 630-2235
mgarrison@rocklin.k12.ca.us



COMMISSIONER
PETER J. SACO
CIF Sac-Joquin Section
1368 E. Turner Road, Ste. A
Lodi, CA 95240
(209) 334-5900
FAX: (209) 334-0300
Web site: www.cifsjs.org

PROPOSAL FOR SOCCER (BOYS AND GIRLS) SELECTION AND SEEDING PROCESS

Qualifying

Top 3 teams in each league will qualify for the playoffs. However, if a league has less than 6 teams participating, that league will receive only 2 spots.

Breaking Ties

Ties for first, second or third in league play will be broken by league determination.

Divisional Placement

- 1 - Teams will be placed in divisions in order of enrollment size. However, schools may not play more than one division below their league placement in realignment. If a school's enrollment places them in a division that is two or more divisions below its league placement in realignment, that school will be moved up at least one division for playoffs.
- 2 - The three in a row and continued success rules that are employed in other sports will be applied to soccer as well.
- 3 - There will be seven divisions in both boys soccer and girls soccer.

Bracket Size

The size of each bracket will be determined by the Section office after it is known how many total teams will qualify for the playoffs.

Seeding the Tournament

- 1 - The Section playoff committee will seed the qualifying teams.
- 2 - The committee will attempt to separate league opponents in the first round.
- 3 - The committee may use rankings by Maxpreps or other services to seed the teams.

Playoff Schedule for 2012-13

- 1 - Play must end by Thursday, October 25 for boys soccer and Thursday, May 2 for girls soccer. That includes makeup games and playoffs to break league ties.
- 2 - Brackets will be established on Friday, October 26 for boys soccer and Friday, May 3 for girls soccer. Playoffs will begin on the following Tuesday.



MARIE M. ISHIDA, Executive Director

CALIFORNIA INTERSCHOLASTIC FEDERATION

CIF STATE OFFICE • 4650 HOLEHORN DRIVE • SACRAMENTO, CA 95834 • (916) 299-4477 • FAX (916) 299-4478 • CIFSTATE.ORG

AGENDA ITEM:

V.B.1

TO: FEDERATED COUNCIL

FROM: Southern Section, CIF

THROUGH: CIF Executive Committee

DATE: May 4, 2012

RE: Revision of CIF State Football Championship Bowl format

The Southwestern League of the Southern Section recommended to the Southern Section Council a revision to the current CIF State Football Championship Bowl format. This revision would also effect the regional format that will be implemented this fall, 2012.

The attached proposal was voted on favorably by the Southern Section Council and is being forwarded for Federated Council action. Implementation date is requested for Fall of 2013.

First Reading: May 4, 2012

Discussion: ??

Vote: ??



10932 Pine Street
Los Alamitos, California 90720

Telephone: (562) 493-9500
FAX: (562) 493-6266

CIF SOUTHERN SECTION COUNCIL PROPOSAL FORM**

In accordance with Blue Book Article 3, Bylaw 30.1, the following proposal is submitted for Council consideration.

"CIF Southern Section Council may entertain proposals submitted to the governing body on the appropriate proposal form from duly appointed advisory committees, leagues or the Executive Committee." All items coming before the Southern Section Council must contain the financial implications on member schools, leagues and the Southern Section.

Date: SEPTEMBER 1, 2011

Submitted by:
Name of representative: RAY MOORE

School of representative: VISTA MURRIETA Telephone: 951-844-5750

Check one of the following:

League Proposal. Name of League: SOUTHWESTERN LEAGUE

Advisory Committee Proposal. Committee Name: _____

Executive Committee Proposal. Submitted by: _____

Rule Change: STATE FOOTBALL
Rule Number Affected: FOOTBALL GAME FORMAT Implementation Date: FOOTBALL SEASON 2013

Abstract: (Please add any supporting documents.)

Council First Read: 10/20/11

Council Action Date: 2/2/12


Date Proposal will take effect on member schools: FALL OF 2013

See reverse side for additional information.

Financial Impact on Member School and Southern Section (Attach an analysis and supporting documents):

~~This proposal would increase the potential revenue to schools that are selected to play in "bowl" games and also increase the potential revenue to each section. Visiting schools would also receive a portion of expenses from bowl game gate receipts. Individual CIF sections would receive more funds than the current program.~~
All Council Proposals must be submitted according to the timelines published in the Blue Book. If they are not received in a timely manner, they will be postponed until the next meeting.

Council Proposals that do not contain the information in the fields provided on both pages will not be considered.



September 1, 2011

Rob Wigod, Commissioner
CIF Southern Section
10932 Pine Street
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Dear Commissioner Wigod,

On behalf of the Principals in the Southwestern League, I would request that the attached proposal be placed on the October 20 CIF-SS Council Agenda as a non-action item and then as an action item at the February 2, 2012 CIF-SS Council Meeting.

It is our belief that this football bowl proposal, if passed, will benefit student-athletes, coaches, schools and each individual section in our state. It also allows for every section to have teams participate in post season state bowl games.

Yours truly,

Ray Moore CMAA
Athletic Director
Vista Murrieta High School

State Football Bowl Proposal

Rationale:

- This proposal would allow one week for football bowl games to be held instead of two weeks. The 2013 football calendar would be similar to the 2011 calendar.
- The current regional football bowl proposal forces some teams to play 16 total games. This is dangerous for California student/athletes. Only NFL teams play this length of schedule and the NFL requires a bye week during their season.
- The "regional" bowl proposal forces the Southern Section and the San Diego Sections to move their football calendar one week earlier than in past seasons. This negatively affects almost 700 high schools which is approximately 50% of the high schools in this state while only a small fraction of teams will have the option to participate in the "regional" bowl format at the conclusion of the season.
- In the 2012 season, teams with a "zero week" game and a scrimmage will need to begin practicing in late July. This is not good for players or coaches.
- There is no official "State Football Bowl Championship" in California. Television broadcasts have made this assumption in error. Post-season football games have been sanctioned by CIF member schools and sections as "State Bowl Games" only.
- In the original bowl format, all sections did not have representation in the state bowl games. This proposal allows for all sections to be represented without lengthening the season for more than one week.
- The past and current state bowl formats send all net profits to the State CIF and participating sections while participating schools bear the brunt of the costs to play and receive no profit sharing. Football is the major revenue source for a high school

athletic program. This proposal shares revenue with participating schools, sections and the state office.

NEW FOOTBALL BOWL GAME PROPOSAL:

1. Implementation Date: December 2013. If this proposal passes, the football calendar would be the same as the 2011 football calendar and allow for one week only for "bowl games."
2. Section Commissioners will meet and determine (by blind draw) an opposing section for the next two years. One section would host three bowl games the first year and the other section would be the host the second year. Every third year Section Commissioners would draw to determine new section match-ups. *For example:*
 - a. North Coast vs San Diego
 - b. Southern vs Sac-Joaquin
 - c. Central Coast vs Los Angeles
 - d. Northern vs Central
 - e. Oakland vs San Francisco *
 - NOTE: Due to their comparative size, the Oakland and San Francisco Sections could resume their "Bay" Bowl format as a permanent, annual event in their two sections.
 - NOTE: Sections (other than Oakland and San Francisco) would pair up with new opposing sections following each two year period.
3. At the conclusion of the section football playoffs, Section Commissioners would meet to select 3 bowl teams from each section as a small school representative, medium school representative and large school representative.
4. 30 total teams from all ten sections in the state would participate in the State Bowl Game format.

5. "Host" sections would be responsible for securing an adequate site for the three bowl games. One game would be played on Friday evening and two games would be held on Saturday. The following year, the visiting section would be the "host" section and have the same responsibilities. (This could also allow sections to reserve lodging and transportation well in advance of the contest to get better rates.)
6. Financial Information: Expenses for the games would be determined and approved by the 2 section offices prior to the bowl games weekend. Travelling teams would receive a portion of the mileage and hotel costs as expenses for the event. Net profits for the bowl games at one site would be shared as follows:
 - a. 20% to the State CIF Office
 - b. 25% to the host section
 - c. 15% to the visiting section
 - d. 25% the host schools
 - e. 15% to the visiting schools
 - f. All television revenue and corporate sponsorship will be retained by the State CIF.

NOTE: The host section and schools would receive a larger split of the profits to encourage and reward their efforts to promote this special event held in their section.

OPEN DIVISION OPTION:

In addition to the proposal described above, Section Commissioners could meet to select 6 teams total from any of the ten sections to compete in State Open Division Bowl Games to be held on the same weekend as the other state bowls games. A site for these "open division" games would be secured by the State CIF Office. The revenue sharing plan as previously described would be used for these games. (This option would allow for 36 teams to participate in state bowls games.) This option would allow for more than 3 teams from some sections to participate in the bowl game format. For example, if De La Salle was selected to play in this "Open Bowl Game," an additional team from the NC Section would be selected to play in the "Section Bowl Game."



MARIE M. ISHIDA, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CALIFORNIA INTERSCHOLASTIC FEDERATION

CIF STATE OFFICE • 4058 DOCKHORN DRIVE • SACRAMENTO, CA 95834 • (916) 239-4477 • FAX (916) 299-8470 • CIF@CIF.ORG

AGENDA ITEM:

V.B.2

TO: FEDERATED COUNCIL

FROM: Southern Section, CIF

THROUGH: Section Commissioners Committee
CIF Executive Committee

DATE: May 4, 2012

RE: Remove all references to "athletically motivated" and "transferring for athletic reasons" from the CIF Constitution and Bylaws


The Trinity League through the Southern Section Council is recommending removing all references to "athletically motivated" and "transferring for athletic reasons" from Bylaws 200, 206, 207, 208, 510.

The Commissioners were not in favor of deleting these references, but felt it would be helpful to be more specific. They agreed to work on language to be forwarded to the Federated Council in October 2012.

First Reading: May 4, 2012

Discussion: October 29, 2012

Vote: February 1, 2013



TCAL proposes each league will be able to choose the season of soccer starting the 2014-2015 season.

Choice one: boys' and girls' soccer to be played in the winter.

Choice two: boys' soccer in the fall and girls' soccer in the spring.

Advantages (choice one):

1. Increase opportunity for boys and girls to participate in other sports. Boys will be able to participate in football, cross country, and water polo. Girls will be able to participate in softball, track, swimming and diving.
2. Increase the opportunity for more boys and girls to participate in soccer.
3. Alignment with the State's designated season for boys' and girls' soccer.
4. Alleviates Spring and Fall outdoor facilities congestion.

PRESIDENT
MICHAEL S. GARRISON
Assistant Superintendent
Rocklin Unified School District
2615 Sierra Meadows Drive
Rocklin, CA 95677
(916) 630-2235
mgarrison@rocklin.k12.ca.us



COMMISSIONER
PETER J. SACO
CIF Sac-Joquin Section
1368 E. Turner Road, Ste. A
Lodi, CA 95240
(209) 334-5900
FAX: (209) 334-0300
Web site: www.cifsjs.org

REALIGNMENT TIMELINES FOR 2014-18

- **AUGUST 17, 2012**—“School result form” will be discussed with league commissioners.
- **AUGUST 22, 2012**—“School result form” will be discussed and distributed at the Section Administrators Workshop in Lodi.
- **SEPTEMBER 18, 2012**—Nominations for realignment committee are due from League Commissioners.
- **SEPTEMBER 28, 2012**—Distribute a list of nominations to all leagues so that the list can be reviewed by member schools in each league. League should determine who they will support for the realignment committee.
- **EARLY OCTOBER 2012**—“School result form” is due to your league commissioner by a pre-determined date.
- **OCTOBER 12, 2012**—All school result forms are due in the Section office.
- **OCTOBER 17, 2012**—Voting for realignment committee takes place at the Board meeting. The realignment committee shall consist of the following:

Two co-chairpersons (**Chuck Edmonds and Greg Clark**)
One executive committee member *
Two administrators from the north
Two administrators from the south
One athletic director from the north
One athletic director from the south
Two at-large positions (chosen by executive committee after election is completed)
Section Commissioner **

* Executive committee member does not vote on any appeals to the Board of Managers.

** Non-voting member of the realignment committee.

NOTE: ANY INDIVIDUAL WHO SERVES ON THE REALIGNMENT COMMITTEE CANNOT ALSO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF MANAGERS.

JANUARY 2013to APRIL 2013
(meeting schedule)

Meetings will be open to the public and the public will be given the opportunity to speak to the committee.

1. Tuesday, January 8, 2013
 2. Tuesday, January 29, 2013
 3. Tuesday, February 19, 2013
 4. Tuesday, March 12, 2013
 5. Tuesday, April 9, 2013—If necessary
- **EARLY APRIL 2013**—Submit a final proposal to member schools for a vote.
 - **APRIL 24, 2013**—Proposal forwarded to Board of Managers for approval. This is based on the proposal receiving a simple majority approval.
 - **MAY 2013 - JUNE 2014**—Schools will have an opportunity to meet with their new leagues and re-organize.
 - **AUGUST 2014**—New realignment officially begins.